

The Role of Summer Leads in Melting Sea Ice

Clayton A. Paulson

College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences

104 Ocean Admin Bldg

Oregon State University

Corvallis, OR 97331-5503

Phone: (541) 737-2528 fax: (541) 737-2064 email: cpaulson@oce.orst.edu

W. Scott Pegau

College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences

104 Ocean Admin Bldg

Oregon State University

Corvallis, OR 97331-5503

Phone: (541) 737-5229 fax: (541) 737-2064 email: pegau@oce.orst.edu

Award Number: N00014-97-1-0764

LONG-TERM GOALS

The long-term goal of this study is to determine the processes that control the input of heat into summer leads and the disposition of this heat into lateral melting, bottom melting and heat storage.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study are to:

- Determine the albedo of lead surfaces as a function of solar altitude, surface roughness (wind speed and fetch), and clouds (transmittance).
- Determine optical properties of leads and their effect on the absorption and penetration of solar radiation.
- Determine salt and temperature stratification within leads and their dependence on melt rate, air-sea heat exchanges and turbulent mixing forced by the wind and ice-ocean velocity difference.
- Balance the heat and freshwater budgets of leads and assess the effects of atmospheric, ice, and oceanic forcing on the components of the balances.

APPROACH

We participated in the Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic (SHEBA) field experiment in the Beaufort Sea. Measurements were made from lead edges and from a small (3-m) boat. Measurements included: 1) incoming and outgoing solar radiation over leads; 2) vertical profiles of temperature, salinity and optical properties on vertical sections across and around the perimeter of leads; and 3) velocity from drogued drifters. Observations of temperature and conductivity (from which salinity was calculated) were made in the same lead, named Nanook, from 7 June to 8 August, 1998. This lead was located near the SHEBA ice camp which drifted from 77.0N, 166.7W to 78.6N, 158.7W during this period. Observations were

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE SEP 2000		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2000 to 00-00-2000	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Role of Summer Leads in Melting Sea Ice				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences,,104 Ocean Admin Bldg,Oregon State University,,Corvallis,,OR,97331				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

made for several hours nearly every day with Seabird conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) instruments deployed from a small boat. Two instruments were used, one mounted on the bow which measured temperature and conductivity at a depth of about 15 cm while the boat was underway, and the second which profiled to a depth of several meters while slowly towed by the boat. The perimeter of the lead was mapped by the use of two GPS units, one on the boat and the second on an adjacent ice floe. On 11 July the area of Nanook lead was 12,000 m², the length of its perimeter was 700 m and the maximum distance across the lead was 200 m. The length of the perimeter was underestimated because small-scale irregularities in the boundary were not resolved by the navigation.

In addition to the measurements made in Nanook lead, profiles of temperature and conductivity were measured in other leads on several occasions by use of an instrument lowered from a hovering helicopter.

WORK COMPLETED

Data files have been submitted to the SHEBA archive. We participated in data analysis workshops and contributed to an article in EOS by Perovich et al. (1999) and to a paper in preparation by Uttal et al. Papers were presented at meetings of the American Geophysical Union and the International Glaciological Society. A paper on the surface albedo of leads (Pegau and Paulson, 2000) has been accepted for publication in the Journal of Glaciology and a paper on the optical properties of the upper Arctic Ocean has been submitted to the Journal of Geophysical Research (Pegau, 2000).

RESULTS


At the beginning of the summer season, temperature and salinity in Nanook lead were nearly uniform within an upper mixed layer approximately 30 m in depth with temperature close to the freezing point. This mixed layer formed during the previous winter under the influence of wind-forced ice motion, surface cooling, and brine rejection associated with ice formation. As solar insolation increased during the summer, the total surface heat flux into leads and ice floes changed from net cooling to net heating, thereby melting ice and snow. The meltwater formed a salt-stratified surface layer in the lead with temperature above freezing. The near-surface temperature of Nanook lead was consistently above freezing after 21 June. By 11 July the average temperature of Nanook lead at a depth of 15 cm ranged from 0.9 to 2.2 C with a mean of 1.6 C and the thickness of the warm, fresh, surface layer was approximately 0.5 m and surface salinity was about 4 psu. As summer progressed, the modified layer deepened to 1.3 m and salinity decreased to a uniform 2 psu in the upper 0.9 m.

Temperature and salinity observed by a hovering helicopter in a variety of leads on the 17 and 22 of July are shown in Figure 1. There is a great deal of variability in the magnitude of the surface temperature and salinity anomalies on 17 July. This variability is thought to be due to the differing lead age and freshwater runoff from surrounding ice. There is much less variability on 22 July. This may be because the depth of the fresh surface layer exceeded the draft of surrounding ice floes so that the freshwater flowed between leads, thereby equalizing the surface salinity anomalies.

On 28 July, winds at the SHEBA ship increased to 10 m/s and remained near this level during most of the following day. The wind stress caused ice motion and turbulent mixing which was sufficiently energetic to deepen the surface mixed layer in Nanook lead to 15 m on 1 August. Following the onset of the storm, the thickness of the stratified layer decreased rapidly to the thickness of the surrounding ice floes. After 1 August, the melt rate was not sufficient to reestablish a persistent, low-salinity layer at the surface.

The summer cycle in Nanook lead is marked by the establishment of a fresh, warm, surface layer with very low surface salinity (2 psu) and temperature well above freezing (2 C). The strong vertical stratification associated with this layer inhibited mixing until near the end of July when a passing storm generated enough ice motion and turbulence to vertically mix the 1-m thick surface layer down to a depth of 15 m. The stratified surface layer limited the vertical transfer of heat to melt bottom ice in the first part

Helo survey July 17 1998 (198)



Helo survey 7-22-98




Figure 1. Profiles of temperature and salinity in leads on 17 and 22 July 1998. of the summer when the depth of the surface layer was less than the draft of surrounding ice floes. Hence the role of stratified surface layers in leads may be to apportion more heat to melting the sides of ice floes than to their bottoms.

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

The persistent vertical density stratification observed in summertime Arctic leads is larger than anywhere in the world ocean. Analysis of our observations will lead to an improved understanding of the processes which determine the establishment and evolution of a warm, fresh, surface layer in Arctic leads and the role of this layer in controlling the flow of heat used to melt the sides and bottoms of ice floes. This improved understanding will aid in the development and improvement of coupled models of air-ice-ocean interaction.

TRANSITIONS

We have submitted our data to the SHEBA archive and it is available for use by other SHEBA investigators.

RELATED PROJECTS

None.

PUBLICATIONS

Pegau, W. S., 2000: Inherent optical properties in the Central Arctic surface waters. J. Geophys. Res. (submitted for publication).

Pegau, W. S. and C. A. Paulson, 2000: The albedo of Arctic leads. J. Glaciology (accepted for publication).

Perovich, D. K., E. L. Andreas, J. A. Curry, H. Eiken, C. W. Fairall, T. C. Grenfell, P. S. Guest, J. Intrieri, D. Kadko, R. W. Lindsay, M. G. McPhee, J. Morison, R. E. Moritz, C. A. Paulson, W. S. Pegau, P. O. G. Persson, R. Pinkel, J. A. Richter-Menge, T. Stanton, H. Stern, M. Sturm, W. B. Tucker III, and T. Uttal, 1999: Year on ice gives climate insights. Eos, Trans., Amer. Geophys. Un., 80, 481-486.